

# Complex Oscillation and Removable Sets

J. K. Langley

*School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park.*

ORE

ed by Elsevier - Publisher Connector

Received September 1, 1998

Let  $A$  be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and let  $E$  be the product of linearly independent solutions of  $w'' + A(z)w = 0$ . We prove the existence of sequences of annuli  $\Omega_m$  such that if  $E$  has relatively few zeros in the union of the  $\Omega_m$ , then  $E$  has relatively few zeros in the whole plane. © 1999 Academic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be an entire function, and let  $f_1, f_2$  be linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$w'' + A(z)w = 0, \quad (1)$$

normalized so that the Wronskian  $W = W(f_1, f_2) = f_1 f_2' - f_1' f_2$  satisfies  $W = 1$ . The Bank–Laine product function  $E = f_1 f_2$  satisfies  $E'(z) = \pm 1$  at every zero  $z$  of  $E$ , as well as the relation

$$4A = (E'/E)^2 - 2E''/E - 1/E^2. \quad (2)$$

Conversely, if  $E$  is any entire function with the property that  $E'(z) = \pm 1$  at every zero  $z$  of  $E$ , then [2] the function  $A$  defined by (2) is entire, and  $E$  is the product of linearly independent normalized solutions of (1).

Extensive work in recent years has concerned the exponent of convergence  $\lambda(f_j)$  of the zeros of solutions  $f_j$ , in connection with the order of

growth  $\rho(A)$  of the coefficient  $A$ , these defined by

$$\lambda(f_j) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ N(r, 1/f_j)}{\log r}, \quad \rho(A) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, A)}{\log r}.$$

Note that

$$\rho(E) \geq \lambda(E) = \max\{\lambda(f_1), \lambda(f_2)\}.$$

It has been conjectured that the condition

$$A \text{ transcendental, } \rho(A) < \infty, \quad \lambda(E) < \infty \quad (3)$$

implies that  $\rho(A)$  is a positive integer, and it has been shown [14, 15] that (3) implies that  $\rho(A) > 1/2$  and that  $E$  has finite order [1]. Further results may be found in [3, 4, 10, 13] and elsewhere.

The present paper is concerned with a problem first considered in [11], that of the existence of sets  $D$  which are removable in the following sense: if  $A$  has finite order and the zeros of  $E$  outside  $D$  have a finite exponent of convergence then  $\rho(E)$  is finite, and hence so is  $\lambda(E)$ .

**THEOREM A** [11]. *Let  $\eta$ ,  $K$ , and  $S$  be constants with  $0 < \eta < \pi$  and  $1 < K < S$ . Let  $R_m$  be a positive sequence tending to infinity with  $R_{m+1} > SR_m$  for each positive integer  $m$ , and let  $\phi_m$  be a real sequence. Let  $D$  be the union of the*

$$D_m = \{z = re^{i\theta} : R_m < r < KR_m, \phi_m - \eta < \theta < \phi_m + \eta\}.$$

*Suppose that  $A$  is a transcendental entire function of finite order and that  $E = f_1 f_2$  is the product of linearly independent normalized solutions  $f_j$  of (1), and suppose finally that the zeros of  $E$  in the complement of  $D$  have finite exponent of convergence. Then  $E$  has finite order.*

Thus if  $E$  has relatively few zeros outside  $D$  then  $E$  has relatively few in the whole plane. A drawback of Theorem A is that the complement of the removable set  $D$  needs to be connected in order for the proof in [11], which is based in part on the methods of [18], to work. A further result [11, Theorem 3] eliminated this restriction, but subject to the strong additional hypothesis that there exists at least one ray  $\arg z = \theta$  along which  $A(z)$  has polynomial growth, i.e.,  $\log^+ |A(re^{i\theta})| = O(\log r)$ . We show here that the logarithmic rectangles occurring in Theorem A may be replaced by annuli, with no hypothesis on the coefficient function  $A$  other than that  $A$  is transcendental of finite order, the resulting removable set having disconnected complement. Our approach is more direct than that of [11], using in part a simplified version of the method of [12].

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $K$  and  $M$  are positive constants with  $K > 1$ , that  $A$  is a transcendental entire function of finite order, and that  $E = f_1 f_2$  is the product of linearly independent normalized solutions of (1). Suppose that there exists a positive sequence  $r_m$  tending to infinity such that for each large positive integer  $m$  the number of zeros of  $E$  in the annulus*

$$\Omega(r_m, K) = \{z: K^{-1}r_m < |z| < Kr_m\}$$

*is at most  $(r_m)^M$ . Then*

$$\log T(K^{-1}r_m, E) = O(\log r_m), \quad m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $K, M, A, E, r_m$  are as in the hypotheses of Theorem 1, and assume in addition that*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r_{m+1}}{\log r_m} < \infty. \quad (5)$$

*Then  $E$  has finite order.*

Thus with the hypotheses of Theorem 2, the complement of the union of the  $\Omega(r_m, K)$  is a removable set in the sense described above. The hypothesis in Theorems 1 and 2 that  $A$  has finite order is not redundant. Let  $H$  be an entire function, having  $\exp(2^m)$  simple zeros on the circle  $|z| = 2^m$ , for each positive integer  $m$ , and no other zeros. As in [16] choose, using Mittag-Leffler interpolation, an entire function  $g$  such that  $E = He^g$  satisfies  $E'(z) = \pm 1$  at each of these zeros. Then  $E$  is the product of linearly independent normalized solutions of (1), and  $\lambda(E) = \infty$ , although  $E$  has no zeros in the annuli  $2^m < |z| < 2^{m+1}$ .

## 2. LEMMAS NEEDED FOR THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

**LEMMA 1.** *Suppose that  $K, M, A, E, r_m$  are as in the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Then there exist positive constants  $M_1, M_2$  with the following properties.*

*If  $m$  is a sufficiently large positive integer there exists  $v_m$  in  $\Omega(r_m, K^{1/4})$  such that*

$$\| \log |E(v_m)| \| \leq (r_m)^{M_1}, \quad (6)$$

*and such that  $E$  has no zeros in the disc  $B(v_m, (r_m)^{-M_2})$ .*

*Proof.* We use  $c$  to denote a positive constant not depending on  $m$ , not necessarily the same at each occurrence. We note first that, by [12, p. 508], or using Herold's comparison theorem [7], the normalized solutions  $f_j$  of (1) satisfy, for large  $r$ ,

$$|f_j(z)| + |f'_j(z)| \leq \exp(3rM(r, A)^{1/2}), \quad |z| \leq r. \quad (7)$$

We may choose  $s$  with  $K^{-1/8}r_m < s < K^{1/8}r_m$ , such that  $s$  is normal for  $A$  with respect to the Wiman-Valiron theory [6, 17]. This means that if  $|z_0| = s$  and  $|A(z_0)| = M(s, A)$ , then we have

$$A(z) = (z/z_0)^N A(z_0)(1 + o(1)) \quad (8)$$

and

$$A'(z)/A(z) = (1 + o(1))N/z, \quad A''(z)/A(z) = (1 + o(1))N^2/z^2 \quad (9)$$

for  $z$  in  $D(z_0, 2)$ , in which

$$D(z_0, L) = \{z = z_0 e^\tau: |\operatorname{Re}(\tau)| \leq LN^{-5/8}, |\operatorname{Im}(\tau)| \leq LN^{-5/8}\}. \quad (10)$$

Here  $N = \nu(s, A)$  is the central index of  $A$  and, provided  $s$  lies outside a set of finite logarithmic measure, may be assumed to satisfy

$$N \leq (\log M(s, A))^{5/4}. \quad (11)$$

Define

$$z_1 = z_0 \exp(-N^{-5/8}), \quad Z = 2A(z_1)^{1/2} z_1 / (N + 2) + \int_{z_1}^z A(t)^{1/2} dt. \quad (12)$$

We may write (8) in the form

$$A(z) = (z/z_1)^N A(z_1)(1 + \mu(z))^2, \quad \mu(z) = o(1),$$

for  $z$  in  $D(z_0, 2)$ , so that  $\mu'(z) = o(N^{5/8}s^{-1})$  for  $z$  in  $D(z_0, 1)$ . Thus for  $z$  in  $D(z_0, 1)$ , integration by parts from  $z_1$  to  $z$  along part of the ray  $\arg t = \arg z_1$  and part of the circle  $|t| = |z|$ , this path having length  $O(sN^{-5/8})$ , gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{z_1}^z t^{N/2} \mu(t) dt \\ &= o((N + 2)^{-1} |z|^{(N+2)/2}) - \int_{z_1}^z 2(N + 2)^{-1} t^{(N+2)/2} o(N^{5/8}s^{-1}) dt \\ &= o((N + 2)^{-1} |z|^{(N+2)/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= (1 + o(1)) A(z_1)^{1/2} 2^z z^{(N+2)/2} (z_1)^{-N/2} (N+2)^{-1} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) A(z)^{1/2} 2^z (N+2)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for  $z$  in  $D(z_0, 1)$ . Further,

$$Z(z)/Z(z_0) = (1 + o(1))(z/z_0)^{(N+2)/2}$$

for  $z$  in  $D(z_0, 1)$  and, since  $Z$  is locally univalent, by (12), the function  $Z$  has, in  $D(z_0, 1/2)$ , at least one simple island  $H_0$  mapped univalently onto the closed region  $H_1$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\log|Z/Z_0|| &\leq N^{1/3}, \quad |\arg Z| \leq \pi/4, \\ Z_0 &= |A(z_0)^{1/2} 2^{z_0} (N+2)^{-1}| = (1 + o(1)) |Z(z_0)|. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

By (11),  $Z_0 \exp(-N^{1/3})$  is large, when  $s$  is large enough.

As the next step in the proof of Lemma 1 we apply a local analogue of Hille's asymptotic method [8, 9] developed in [12]. We write

$$W(Z) = A(z)^{1/4} w(z), \quad (15)$$

for  $z$  in  $H_0$  and  $Z$  in  $H_1$ , in which  $w$  is a solution of (1). The equation (1) transforms to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 W}{dZ^2} + (1 - F_0(Z))W &= 0, \\ F_0(Z) &= A''(z)/4A(z)^2 - 5A'(z)^2/16A(z)^3. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By (9), we have  $|F_0(Z)| \leq 3|Z|^{-2}$  in  $H_1$ . By [12, Lemma 1] there exist solutions  $U_1(Z), U_2(Z)$  of (16) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} U_j(Z) &= (1 + o(1)) \exp((-1)^j iZ), \\ U'_j(Z) &= (1 + o(1)) (-1)^j i \exp((-1)^j iZ), \\ W(U_1, U_2) &= 2i + o(1) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

in  $H_1$ . We write, in  $H_0$ , for  $q = 1, 2$ ,

$$f_q(z) = C_q u_1(z) + D_q u_2(z), \quad u_j(z) = A(z)^{-1/4} U_j(Z), \quad (18)$$

with  $C_1, D_1, C_2, D_2$  constants. Choose  $z^*$  in  $H_0$  so that  $Z^* = Z(z^*)$  satisfies

$$|Z^*| \leq (1/2)Z_0, \quad |U_2(Z^*)| \leq 2, \quad |U_2'(Z^*)| \leq 2.$$

Then using (9), (11), (14), (17), and (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z^*| &\leq s, \quad u_2(z^*) = o(1), \\ |u_2'(z^*)/u_2(z^*)| &\leq N + 2M(s, A)^{1/2} \leq 3M(s, A)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Further, (17) and standard properties of Wronskians give

$$W(u_1, u_2) = 2i + o(1)$$

in  $H_0$ . Thus the equation

$$C_1 = W(f_1, u_2)/W(u_1, u_2)$$

and (7) and (19) give

$$|C_1| \leq cM(s, A)^{1/2} \exp(3sM(s, A)^{1/2}) \leq M = \exp(4NZ_0), \quad (20)$$

using (14), and the same estimate holds for  $C_2, D_1, D_2$ .

We require further estimates for the coefficients  $C_1, C_2, D_1, D_2$  and for convenience we state and prove these as Lemma 2, following which the proof of Lemma 1 will be completed.

**LEMMA 2.** *In each pair  $\{C_1, D_1\}, \{C_2, D_2\}$ , at least one term has modulus at most  $M^{-2}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $C_1$  and  $D_1$  each have modulus at least  $M^{-2}$ . Set  $F_1(Z) = A(z)^{1/4}f_1(z)$ , for  $z$  in  $H_0$ , and  $Z$  in  $H_1$ . Then we may write, using (18) and (20),

$$F_1(Z) = -C_1U_1(Z)(e^{2iY} - 1), \quad Y = Z + S + o(1), \quad |S| \leq 32NZ_0. \quad (21)$$

It follows from (14), (20), and (21) that the image of  $H_1$  under  $Y$  covers the region

$$(1/4)N^{1/3} \leq \log|Y/Z_0| \leq (1/2)N^{1/3}, \quad |\arg Y| \leq \pi/8,$$

so that the number of zeros of  $f_1$  in  $H_0$  is at least  $\exp(cN^{1/3}Z_0)$ . Since every zero of  $f_1$  is a zero of  $E$  and since  $H_0$  is contained in  $\Omega(r_m, K)$ , this contradicts the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Lemma 2 is proved.

We return to the proof of Lemma 1. Since  $F_1 F_2$  has at most  $(r_m)^M$  zeros in  $H_1$ , we may choose  $Z_1$  in  $H_1$  with

$$(1/4)e^{N^{1/3}}Z_0 \leq |Z_1| \leq (3/4)e^{N^{1/3}}Z_0$$

and with

$$|U_1(Z_1)| = 1 + o(1), \quad |U_2(Z_1)| = 1 + o(1), \quad (22)$$

and such that  $F_1 F_2$  has no zeros in the region

$$\{Z: |\log(Z/Z_1)| \leq N^{1/3}(r_m)^{-2M}\}.$$

Let  $v_m$  be the pre-image of  $Z_1$  in  $H_0$ . Since, by (12) and (13),

$$Z^{-1}dZ/dz = (1 + o(1))(N + 2)/2z$$

on  $D(z_0, 1)$ , it follows that  $E = f_1 f_2$  has no zeros  $\zeta$  with

$$|\log(\zeta/v_m)| \leq \lambda, \quad \lambda = N^{1/3}(r_m)^{-2M}(N + 2)^{-1} \geq (r_m)^{-c}.$$

In the last inequality we have used (11).

It remains only to estimate  $E(v_m)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= W(f_1, f_2) = (C_1 D_2 - C_2 D_1)W(u_1, u_2) \\ &= (C_1 D_2 - C_2 D_1)(2i + o(1)) \end{aligned}$$

in  $H_0$ , it follows from (20) and Lemma 2 that either  $C_1$  and  $D_2$  each have modulus at most  $M^{-2}$ , or  $C_2$  and  $D_1$  each have modulus at most  $M^{-2}$ . We assume without loss of generality that the latter is the case. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 C_2 &= o(1), \quad D_1 C_2 = o(1), \quad D_1 D_2 = o(1), \\ C_1 D_2 &= (1/2i)(1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} E &= (C_1 u_1 + D_1 u_2)(C_2 u_1 + D_2 u_2) \\ &= (C_1 D_2 + D_1 C_2)u_1 u_2 + C_1 C_2 u_1^2 + D_1 D_2 u_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that using (22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(v_m) &= (1 + o(1))(1/2i)u_1(v_m)u_2(v_m) \\ &= (1 + o(1))(1/2i)A(v_m)^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 1 is proved.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

Suppose that  $K, M, A, E, r_m$  are as in the statement of Theorem 1 and that  $v_m$  and  $M_1, M_2$  are as in Lemma 1. Let  $\phi(z)$  map the unit disc  $\Delta = B(0, 1)$  conformally onto the logarithmic rectangle  $U = \{w: |\log|w|| < (1/4)\log K, |\arg w| < \pi\}$ , with  $\phi(0) = 1$ , and let

$$h(z) = h_m(z) = v_m \phi(z)^2. \quad (23)$$

Defining

$$B(z) = A(h(z)), \quad F(z) = E(h(z)), \quad (24)$$

we have, by (2),

$$(h')^2 F^{-2} = (F'/F)^2 - 2F''/F + 2(h''/h')(F'/F) - 4(h')^2 B \quad (25)$$

for  $z$  in  $\Delta$ . Since  $A$  has finite order we have, by (7),

$$\log^+ |B(z)| + \log^+ \log^+ |F(z)| \leq (r_m)^d \quad (26)$$

for  $z$  in  $\Delta$ , using  $d$  to denote a positive constant not depending on  $m$ , not necessarily the same at each occurrence. Using (6) and (26), we have

$$\log^+ T(r, 1/F) \leq \log^+ T(r, F) + (r_m)^d \leq (r_m)^d, \quad 0 < r < 1. \quad (27)$$

Since  $E$  has no zeros in the disc  $B(v_m, (r_m)^{-M_2})$ , and at most  $(r_m)^M$  zeros in the annulus  $\Omega(r_m, K)$ , we have

$$N(r, 1/F) \leq (r_m)^d, \quad 0 < r < 1. \quad (28)$$

Choose  $s_1$  in  $(0, 1)$  such that the image of the disc  $B(0, s_1)$  under  $\phi$  contains the arc  $|u| = 1$ ,  $|\arg u| \leq \pi/2$ , and define  $s_j$  for  $2 \leq j \leq 4$  by  $s_j = (1 + s_{j-1})/2$ . Again, since  $F$  has at most  $2(r_m)^M$  zeros in  $\Delta$ , we may choose  $r$  with  $s_2 \leq r \leq s_3$  such that  $F$  has no zeros  $\zeta$  with  $|\zeta| - r < r_m^{-2M}$ . Choose  $R$  with  $s_4 < R < 1$  such that  $F$  has no zeros on  $|\zeta| = R$  and apply the differentiated Poisson–Jensen formula [5, p. 22] to  $F$  in  $B(0, R)$  in order to estimate  $F'(z)/F(z)$  and  $(F'/F)'(z)$ , with  $|z| = r$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & |F'(z)/F(z)| + |(F'/F)'(z)| \\ & \leq (r_m)^d + (r_m)^d (m(R, F) + m(R, 1/F)), \quad |z| = r, \end{aligned}$$

and so, using (27),

$$m(r, F'/F) + m(r, F''/F) \leq (r_m)^d.$$



Using (23), (24), (25), (26), and (28), we now have

$$T(r, 1/F) \leq (r_m)^d$$

and hence, using (6) again,

$$T(r, F) \leq (r_m)^d,$$

from which it follows that

$$\log|F(z)| \leq (r_m)^d, \quad |z| \leq s_1,$$

and, by the choice of  $s_1$ ,

$$\log|E(w)| \leq (r_m)^d, \quad |w| = |v_m|.$$

Since the sequence  $|v_m|$  satisfies  $K^{-1/4}r_m < |v_m| < K^{1/4}r_m$ , we obtain (4) and Theorem 1. If, in addition, we have (5), it follows at once that  $E$  has finite order, and Theorem 2 is proved.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Bank and I. Laine, On the oscillation theory of  $f'' + Af = 0$  where  $A$  is entire, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **273** (1982), 351–363.
2. S. Bank and I. Laine, On the zeros of meromorphic solutions of second-order linear differential equations, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **58** (1983), 656–677.
3. S. Bank, I. Laine, and J. K. Langley, On the frequency of zeros of solutions of second order linear differential equations, *Result Math.* **10** (1986), 8–24.
4. S. Bank and J. K. Langley, Oscillation theory for higher order linear differential equations with entire coefficients, *Complex Variables* **16** (1991), 163–175.
5. W. K. Hayman, “Meromorphic Functions,” Clarendon, Oxford, 1964.
6. W. K. Hayman, The local growth of power series: A survey of the Wiman–Valiron method, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **17** (1974), 317–358.
7. H. Herold, Ein Vergleichssatz für komplexe lineare Differentialgleichungen, *Math. Z.* **126** (1972), 91–94.
8. E. Hille, “Lectures on Ordinary Differential Equations,” Addison–Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
9. E. Hille, “Ordinary Differential Equations in the Complex Domain,” Wiley, New York, 1976.
10. I. Laine, “Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations,” de Gruyter Studies in Math. 15, de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 1993.
11. I. Laine and S. J. Wu, Removable sets in the oscillation theory of complex differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **214** (1997), 233–244.
12. J. K. Langley, Proof of a conjecture of Hayman concerning  $f$  and  $f''$ , *J. London Math. Soc.* **48** 2 (1993), 500–514.
13. J. Miles and J. Rossi, Linear combinations of logarithmic derivatives of entire functions with applications to differential equations, *Pacific J. Math.* **174** (1996), 195–214.

14. J. Rossi, Second order differential equations with transcendental coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **97** (1986), 61–66.
15. L. C. Shen, Solution to a problem of S. Bank regarding the exponent of convergence of the solutions of a differential equation  $f'' + Af = 0$ , *Kexue Tongbao* **30** (1985), 1581–1585.
16. L. C. Shen, Construction of a differential equation  $y'' + Ay = 0$  with solutions having prescribed zeros, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1985), 544–546.
17. G. Valiron, "Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions," Edouard Privat, Toulouse, 1923.
18. S. J. Wu, Further results on Borel removable sets of entire functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.* **19** (1994), 67–81.